

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 2 September 2004. Responsive to the objections made in the Official Action, Claims 1 – 6 and 9 – 12 have been amended to clarify the language thereof. Additionally, Claims 7 and 8 have been cancelled by this Amendment.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the Specification due to a number of informalities therein.

Accordingly, the Specification has been amended to correct those informalities kindly noted by the Examiner. No new matter has been added by these changes.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The Examiner stated that the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims and therefore the supporting shaft making a movement relative to the press member or the guiding wall, as defined in Claim 5, and the supporting shaft having an end received in the slot of the wall and moving back and forth therein, as defined in Claim 7 and 8, must be shown or the features cancelled from the claims.


Claim 5 has been amended to remove the language referring to the supporting shaft making a movement and Claims 7 and 8 have been cancelled by this amendment. Therefore, no drawing changes are required.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the claims due to
informalities therein.

Claims 1 – 6 and 9 – 12 have been amended to correct those informalities
kindly noted by the Examiner.

It is now believed that the subject patent application has been placed in
condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein
Registration No. 33,253

Dated: *1 Nov. 2004*

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
Tel: 410-465-6678

Customer No.
04586